

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4/9/08

Case 1:07-cv-06665-HB-DCF Document 19 Filed 04/09/2008 Page 4 of 20

NESENOFF & MILTENBERG, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
363 SEVENTH AVENUE
FIFTH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001-3904

TELEPHONE (212) 736-4500
TELECOPIER (212) 736-2260

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
S.D.N.Y.

IRA S. NESENOFF
ANDREW T. MILTENBERG

PHILIP A. BYLER
ALAN M. SHECTMAN
SHARI S. LASKOWITZ
LAINE A. ARMSTRONG
MEGAN S. GODDARD
ANDREW B. PLOTKIN

PARALEGAL
TANYA C. SIMMONS

April 7, 2008

BY UPS OVERNIGHT MAIL

The Honorable Harold Baer
United States District Judge
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street - Room 2230
New York, New York 10007

**Re: Atateks Foreign Trade Ltd, et. al. v. Private Label Sourcing, LLC, et. al.
Index No. 07 Civ. 6665 (S.D.N.Y.)(HB)**

Dear Judge Baer:

We are the attorneys for the Defendant Private Label Sourcing, LLC, and Defendant Second Skin, LLC in the above-referenced action. On Friday, April 4, 2008, at 5:45 p.m., we received by facsimile a copy of a letter dated April 4, 2008, from the Law Offices of Eric J Grannis counsel for Plaintiffs in this action, to Your Honor addressing numerous issues, including (i) substitution of counsel, (ii) extension of time to compete discovery, (iii) Plaintiffs' request to file an amended complaint against Defendant Second Skin, and (iv) Plaintiffs' "motion" to compel discovery from Defendant Second Skin (the "Plaintiffs' April 4th Letter").

Mr. Grannis has raised numerous substantive issues in the Plaintiffs' April 4th Letter, which Defendants believe should be addressed by formal motion, including but not limited to Mr. Grannis's contention that the parties agreed to suspend filing of an amended complaint indefinitely, and that, despite Mr. Grannis's admission that Plaintiff have not filed an amended complaint, the Defendants' response to discovery is nonetheless overdue (which it is not). Defendants will require some time to properly respond to Mr. Grannis's letter. Therefore, with Your Honor's permission, Defendants will submit a response to Mr. Grannis's letter by April 18, 2008. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,
NESENOFF & MILTENBERG, LLP.

By: Laine A. Armstrong, Esq.

cc: Eric J. Grannis, Esq.
Philip A. Byler, Esq.
Alan M. Sheckman, Esq.
Shari S. Laskowitz, Esq.
Megan S. Goddard, Esq.
Andrew B. Plotkin, Esq.
Harold Baer, Jr., U.S.D.J.
Date: 4/9/08

The attached sheet reflects not a single violation for the last 6 months since 10/05/07. I am sure you have time to review this. I am sure you will find that the changes of while we may take to the court is not to the court. I am sure you will find that the changes of while we may take to the court is not to the court. I am sure you will find that the changes of while we may take to the court is not to the court.

Endorsement:

The docket sheet reflects not a single notation for the last 6 months since 10/05/07 to be exact. Your PTSO which binds successor attorney provides for a July trial. As you know that month never or almost never changes and while you may take to April 18 there is a lot to be done in very little time. I may have time to try this in August if you are all available that would permit some additional time perhaps you best arrange a telephone conference with Chambers.